

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	.FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/664,473	09/18/2000	Hugh Sharkey	17616-842	6257		
21971	7590 03/04/2002					
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI			EXAM	EXAMINER		
650 PAGE MI PALO ALTO,	LL ROAD CA 943041050		SHAY, D.	SHAY, DAVID M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3739			
		DATE MAILED: 03/04/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_
	Application No. Appl 09/1664473		plicant(s) Showfeen etal	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	200	Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet 5	eneath the co		dress
Peri dî r Reply			•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE3	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replete If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, efailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	y within the statutory minim kpire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) don the mailing date	ays will be considered	d timely. n .
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on September	h 18,2000			
This action is FINAL.	,			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	or formal matters, pros o C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to 1	he merits is clos	ed in
Disposition of Claims				
12 Claim(s) 50 - 56	is/are p	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)	is/are al	is/are allowed.		
© Claim(s) 50 - 56	is/are re	is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are ol			
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			r election	
Application Papers	/	requirer	nent.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved (☐ disapproved		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		•		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			•	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	_ , ,			
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)				
☐ received in this national stage application from the Intern				
*Certified copies not received:		·····		
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 🗆 In	terview Summ	ary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
\square Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		ther		
Office &	Acti n Summary			

Art Unit: 3739

It is noted that the claims as amended by the pre-amendment are substantially those claims being prosecuted in U.S. Patent Application number 08/696,051 and it is further noted that these claims were submitted without further argument drawn to their patentability over the prior art applied thereto in the parent case. Therefore, the rejections and answers to arguments set forth in the parent will be herein repeated as applied to the instant claims.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 54-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 54-56 appear to be substantial duplicates, as it is unclear how the compositions use affects the structure thereof.

a. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 3739

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 3. Claims 50-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sand ('709).
- 4. Claims 50-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Sand ('169).

Sand ('709) discloses that ligaments and tendons are collagenous bodies (see column 1, lines 25-28); the known shrinkage properties of collagen (see column 1, lines 50-53), and the discloses the use of the method on collagenous tissues throughout the body (see column 1, lines 62-68). Sand ('169) discloses all of the above and additionally discusses the relative shrinkage temperature of tendon collagen specifically.

Regarding claims 54-56, the Examiner has maintained the rejection because the "starting material" applicant uses are not differentiated in terms of their treatment in the instant specification or the prior art of record.

Applicant notes the interview summary penned by the Examiner on December 10, 1997. The Examiner regrets that the Sand ('709) reference had not been reviewed with sufficient thoroughness when the interview was held. However, neither the statement by the Examiner nor applicant's arguments can

Art Unit: 3739

remove from Sand ('709) the teachings which appear therein in black and white. It is undeniable that Sand ('709) heating thereof. Sand '(709) teaches the shrinkage of corneal collagen by controlled, begins, stating "collagen connective" tissue is ubiquitous in the human body and... provides the cohesiveness and tenacity of the musculoshel et al system, the structural integrity of the viscera as well as the elasticity of the integument "(see Sand ('709) column 1, lines 15-20). Sand ('709) continues: "The walls of the great vessels share their collagen integrity with the ligamentous bony attachments and the tendinous or sinewy muscular attachments... "(column 1, lines 25-28). "The present invention is directed to a method and apparatus for effecting controlled lineal contraction or shrinkage of collagen fibers to provide a multitude of nondestructive and beneficial structural changes and corrections within the body. The invention has application to the alternation of collagen connective tissue throughout the body and will be described with specific reference to correction of refractive disorders of the cornea of the eye. "(emphasis, added, column 1, line 62 column 2 line 2). Lastly, Sand (709) states "while disclosed as a corneal shapemodifying technique the system has application to other collagenous bodies, and is believed useful in fields ranging from cosmetic surgery to correction of defective heart valves or musculoskeletal injuries" (column 8, line 67 – column 9, line 3).

The Examiner notes applicants arguments that terms such is "tendon" and "ligament" do not appear in Sand. However, though the recitations do not

Art Unit: 3739

appear ipsis verbis, the recitation at column 1, lines 25-28 is clearly a teaching to use these starting materials. The examiner again regrets his inadequate review of the Sand ('709) reference. However, it is noted that this reference was also available to applicant and a more thorough review thereof on applicant's part could have avoided this informatunate chain of events as well.

Regarding the arguments filed with the after final amendment filed May 19, 2000, the examiner notes that a simple allegation, without showing of fact, is insufficient to demonstrait non-enablement. It is further noted that Sand discusses the <u>use</u> of the method on tendon and ligament material, there is no discussion of tyring these materials is the method.

5. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/696,051. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3739

the advisory action. In no, event, however will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Shay at telephone number (703) 308-2215.

David Shay:bhw

January 17, 2002

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330